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## ABSTRACT

The first part of these findings is a report on a study of the charac ${ }^{\dagger}$ eristics of 120 spanish-Erglish bilingual Chilaren's speech over a Foovear.period in the clasisioom; on the playquound, and at home. Three Frpes of languge -āsures were used as well as a udiotaped speech samples taken in the three comunication set+ings. Preliminary findings suggest $\ddagger$ hat ill children viry in languade usace and preference dependiñ on the setting: (2) discourse fn the ciassroom is predominantiq. Fnglish 131 acquisition of reading skilis sid of languade is individualistic in, nature: (4i)
cs deswitchina is more prevalent in some comminties than othersa and (f) multiple measures of oral lanauage proficiency mety be needed for velid ussessment. The second part of the report focused on the bilinaucl discourse of 24 children in kiñerárten through grade two. usiñ utterance as the basic unit of speech. The apaipsis examined:
(11 frequency of codeswitchina in two different border areas in mexas (21 codeswitching over pertod of two yeats (3) ianguage mixing as a function of age/arade level: na (4) type of language $m^{3}$ xiñ over +ime and by region. It wes found that codeswitching did not occur with areát frequency. and that regional differences were found in the extent which it did occur. (AMA)
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For the past three years the Southwest Educational Development Laboratory has been engaged in a program of research on the teaching of reading to bilingual children: The research is funded by the National Institute of Education. The primary geal of thà research is to map out some of the variations which exist in bilingual reading programs and to ásess the impact of those variations on the achievement of children with varying language and cognitive backgrounds. The research is a seven-year, longitudinal study which will track the reading progress of approximately. 400 chialdren from kindergarten through grade four. The study is designed to examine the effects of the interaction of certán learner characteristics and type of reading, instruction on the reading achievement of SpanishEnglish bilingual children in the state of Texas. Among the learner charalctēristics of interest to us are cognitive style; cognitive development. degree of bilingualism; and level of linguistic awareness. of particular importance in understanding the effects of the reading instruction on the chitd's reading progress is a consideration of the child's degree of bilingualism; her/his pattern of language use, and the level of development shej he has reached in each of the languages she/he speaks. Thus the nature of our research requires extensive and precise examination of the children'ṣ oral language devèlopment.

The study is built around a natral variation" design and calls for ā cārēul selection of school districts; schools; teachers, and students. For some purposes, all students in a class are tested with certain instruments; for other purposes; the instructional programs for the entire class is ōbserved. In addition, a tārget subsample of ten students is selected
in each classroom for a more detailed "ease study" examination. This target group of students is the subject of special observation and of individual assessment. The tārget students were selected to be representātive of the population from which they were drawn in terms of sex, ianguage status., and cognitive style as defined by the constructs of field dependencel field independence and reflection/impulsivity. In Year Two, the sample cansisted of 120 Spanish-English bilingual students from three school districts in the border areas of south central and southwestern Texas. Most of the children were from low to lower-middle income families. About one fourth are classified as migrant; all of the children are bilingual to one degree or another, with the majority of the children dominant in Spanish.*

## Language Ässessment

For the purpose of assessing the children's language abilities and for monitoring their language growth, three types of language measures are used in the study: (1) an oral language proficiency test, (b) teacher ratings, and ${ }^{\prime}(c)$ an ethnographic verificateon of the children's language abilities.

The oral language proficiency test is selected by the school district from a list of state-approved, conmercially-available language tests. All of the children in the sample during the first two years were administered the Language Assessment Scales - LAS (De Avila \& Duncan, 1977) in both Engl ish and Spanish in the fall of each year. $\cdot$

Teacher ratings of the chịldren's lanquage ability are provided to the project on three occasions during the school year. During the first month

[^1]$\therefore$ of school, the teachers rated all children in their ciasses on the Student
 vides an ímpressionistić, global view of the child's ability in both languages, which may be úsed to verify the child's oral lạnguage proficỉency test scores. This information is also used as one criterion for selecting target.children in each of the classes. In the month of December, after the teachers have become familiar with the language patterys and usage of their children; all target children are rated by their teachers on the SEDL oral Language Proficiency Scale (Mace-Matluck, et al.; 1979) in both English and Spanish (see Appendix i). The teachers rate the children once again on thi's same scale in April or May, concurrent with the administration of the reading achievement tests.

For the purpose of monitoring the child's language growth as well as verifying the child's ךanguage status, audiotaped speech samples are taken once a month from each of the tearget children (Mace-Matluck, et a1., 1978) :The samples a re taken on a rotating schedule in three communication settings: zn the ciassroom, in the home, and either on the playground or in other non-instructional settings within the school.

The taped samples for each child are 20-30 minutes in length. Standard cassette tape recorders and lapel; or lavalier, microphones are used for . taping in the classroom: The taped samples on the playground and in the home 'are obtáned by placing an activated microcassette tape recorder in the pocket of á specially-designed belt-and-sah worn by the child. This is similar to that worn by children on school-crossing patrol. A very-small lapel microphone extends from the tape recorder up under the sash and through a buttonhold at shoulder height, ensuring that the microphone is ideally piaced to pick up the child's speech; às well as that of others

## around her/him.

Each of the tapes is transcribed by a bilingual speaker mémbers andor consultants who have expertise in oral language assessment and inguistics examine the transcripts and taped samples for extent and quality of language and for language preference in each of the communication settings. As we evaluate the tapes we record certain information about the total interaction (e.g., general language use of the student and of the interlocuters; dialect variations, instances of codeswitecing and language alternation, errors in phonological and gramatical structures, instances of egocentric speech, spontaneous use of folkloric games, rhymes; stories, songs, etc, In addition, the chitd is given an oral proficiency rating based on the same criteria used by the teachers in making their ratings.

## Findings and Discussion

The three sources of information (the test scores, teacher ratings, and taped samples) have provided us with a rich and varied data bank. From the analyses we have made to date, several statements can be made about the general characteristics of the children's language use within the three communication settings.

## tangtuage Preference Across the Three Settings

Table 1 depicts the percentage of tapes that were characterized by a' particular type of language use by the compósite group of target children representing the three school districts. In the classroom English was the chjld's choice of language on about one half of the tapes; Spanish was used by the child on about one third of the tapes; both languages were used in one or more episodes in 20 percent of the tapes.. Ās was true of the first

Tāblè 1
Type of tanguage Use of the Target Chitdren Within
Three Communication Séttings - All Sites

| Iype of Language Use | Classroom ( $\mathrm{N}=154$ ) | SE T T I N G Playground $(N=177$ ) | Home ( $\mathrm{N}=156$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primarily Spanish | 29\% | $59 \%$ | 57\% |
| Primarily English | 49\% | 18\% | 22\% |
| Alternating (S/E) | 1\% | - - | - |
| Both (one or more episodes in which each language was? used primarily) | 80\% | 22\% | 17\% |
| Codeswitching | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% |

year data, we find very 1 ittle codeswitching by the children in the class= room even though there were numerous'instances of codeswitching and codemixing by the teachers.

On the playground the children's obvious choice was Spanish. However, sapproximately 40 perĉent of the tapes were classified as primarily English or containing episodes of both Engliṣh and Spanish on the same tape. We have found that, overall, more English is being used on the playground in this year's data than $\overline{\text { in }}$ those of the previous year and that codeswitching and language mixing is less prevalent as well.

The home setting essentially mirrors the patterns of language use found on the playground. overall, the children tended to speak Spanish to adult members of the family, but to show a preference for English when conversing with older sibilings and playmates. In only a few homes was English used as the primary language of communication with all family members.

Language use by school district. When comparing the data across the school districts (see Table 2) one finds à similar pattern of language use in the home setting across the three districts. However, considerably more English was used by the children on the playground in the two school districts located some 40 miles from the city of El Paso (Districts $B$ and $\bar{C}$ ) than by the children from the rural, rather isolated school district in the Rio Grānde Vàley of south central Texas (District, A).

Another interesting pattern is noted in the data on classoom usage. Notice that in District $A$; where the children are using primarily spanish on the playground much of the time, there js a heavy emphasis on English in the classroom. Some use of Spanish is maintained, however either as the primary language or with one or more episodes of primarily Spanish on the tāpes where bofth languages are used. Also notice that, while negligible

Table 2
Type of Language Use of the Target Children Within Three Communication Settings = by School District

| Type of Language Use | Classroom: $\quad$SETTING <br> PTayground |  |  |  |  |  | Home |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | A | B | C | A | B. | C | A | B | C |
| Primarily Spanish | 20\% | 38\% | 53\% | 67\% | 52\% | 42\% | 58\% | $56 \%$ | 56\% |
| Primarily English | 51\% | 63\% | 42\% | 11\% ${ }^{\circ}$ | 29\% | 19\% | 17\% | 29\% | 26\% |
| Alternating | $2 \%$ | - | $=$ | - | - | - | $=$ | $=$ | $=$ |
| both | 27\% | - | 37\% | 20\% | 17\% | 33\% | 21\% | 16\% | 10\% |
| Codeswitching | - | - | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | = | 4\% | $=$ | 8\% |


|  | District $A$ |  | District $B$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Bistrict $\bar{E}$ |  |  |
| Classroom | $N=108$ | $N=\overline{0}$ | $N=3 \overline{8}$ |  |
| Playground | $N=89$ | $N=52$ | $N=36$ |  |
| Home | $N=72$ | $N=45$ | $N=39$ |  |

alternating (concurrent) use of the two lagages is found only in District A. The other two districts ( $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{C}$ ) tend to keep the two languages separate, with a greater emphasis on English in the classroom in District $B$ and dout equal amounts of Spanish and English used in District C.

Language use by grade level. We find no great difference in the pattern of language use among the children on the basis of age/grade level within the home setting (see Table 3) an the playground; we see a greater use of both languages by the older children, suggesting that the children have gained considerably more skill and confidence in their English after one or more years of schooling. The classroom reveals a distinct difference among grade levels in the amount of Spanish used by the children. At kindergarten', there is a decided preference for Spanish. At first grade; the emphasis shifts dramatically to Englisha At second grade, English continues to be the primary language of the children with primarily: Spanish used only ofcasionally. However, we see an increase at second grade of more episodes gocurring in each, of the languages within the same tape. The children seem to be able to shift to one language or the other on demand at that point in their schooling.

## Quality of Language Used Across the Three Settings

We have found that thè quality of the children's language, às well ass their languagupeference, varies depending upon the setting. In the classroom the children's language is greatly restricted as compared, with their use of language on the playground and in the home. In that setting, their utterances, both in English and in Spanish, tend to be shorter and less rich in vocabulary and syntactic structures. A definite rise in pitch is. noticeable in the valices of some of the children on their classroom tapes.

Table 3
Type of Language Use of the Target Children Within the Three Communication Settings - by Grade Level

| Type of Language Usē | $\bigcirc$ SET T ING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classroom ( $\mathrm{N}=154$ ) |  |  | Playground ( $N=177$ ) |  |  | - Home ( $N=156$ ) |  |  |
|  | K | -1 | 2 | K | 1 | 2 | K | 1 | 2 |
| Primarily Spanish. | 49\% | 26\% | 5\% | 60\% | 59\% | 57\% | 56\% | 57\% | 61 |
| Primarily English | 33\% | 60\% | 56\% | 23\% | 13\% | 17\% | 27\% | 19\% | 22 |
| ${ }_{6}$ Alternáting | $4^{\%}$ | - | - | 7 |  |  | $\square$ | $=$ |  |
| Both | 14\% | 12\% | 39\% | 13\% | 27\% | 23\% | 16\% | 17\% | 17 |
| Codeswitching | - | 2\% | - | ; - | , $2 \%$ | 3\% | 2\% | 8\% |  |

We are quite sure that these differences are not due to the type of teachers we have=these are good teachers and proficient bilinguals who empathize With the students--nor are they a function of a particular school per se, nor of țhe type of school program being offered. This same phenomenon is occurring in all of our sites. We feel that it is more likely due to the nature of school itself--the institution we have created-and how children react to that environment. It is ālso related, we believe, to the fact that the school setting requires a kind of formal language which many children have not yet learned to usse and which must be acquiqed in the course of language development. What we find typically in the tapes is that the teacher initiates most of the interactions; the children respond with utterances limited to short answers or simple declarative sentences, which often include false starts and/or suspensions. At all grade levels in. which we are presently involved $(k-2)$, the children at times also respond with oral narratives or expository text of two or three sentences usually joined by connectors such as and or then. As the ehildren move into second grade we notice thát they äre produeing longer oral harratives; but they are stíll māde up mostly of conjoined sentences: A few children, however, ārè beginning to be more explocit in their responses and more definite in their mode of delivery, suggésting to us that those children are beginning to acquire the features of language needed to deal with the formal language of the textbooks and with the formal spoken language of the classroom that is employed when dealing with abstractions and problem solving:

From the point of view of evaluating children's use of language for interpersonal comminication, the playground tapes have been the most productive. It is here that we find the greatest variety of language forms and usage: "Negatives, interrogatives; and imperatives (all but missing in the
$\therefore$ classroom) abound on the playground, and the children perform in a variety of discourse roles including that of initiating and maintaining as well as responding.

The home tapes have all been extremely valuable for this purpose and have helped us in understanding the home environment of the children and the language backgroum they bring with them. It is in these tapes that we have found a rich source of games, rhymes, songs, and stories that the children know and use:

In addition, a computer program has been written to do certain analysēs. of the taped language data from all three settings. The speech found on 44 of the tapes has been sṭored in the computer and an analysis of the chil= dren's oral vocabulary in Spanish has been completed. When examined in this manner, the evidence is clear that these bilingual children have a rich and varied vocabulary in their mother tongué. As the analyses continue, it is hoped that Spanish words that are actually used by bilingual children in the U.S. ean be documented and organized in terms of categories and frequency. Work of this kind should be invaluable in designing materíals for oral language development and reading for these children.

Qur. discussion of the general characteristics of the children's language would not be complete without some mention of the children's use of English. For those children in the subsample who appeared at the beginning of the study to be equāly àt home in either language, their English was quite similar to that of monolingual speakers of English of the same age, with the possible exception of a slight overlay of Spanish in their English phonological system ( $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{g}}$. , rhythm, vowel structures) . The children who were identified as monolingual speakers of Spanish at the beginning of the study reflected the early stages of second-language acquisition during the first
year: they appeared to understand more English thàn thè could produce; morphological signals; but their range of syntactic patterns wàs quite limited. The remaining children were dominant in Spanish āt the beginning of the study and reflected various stages in their acquisition of English. Comman to all of these children was a limited range of English vocabulary. As was noted in the firstyear data, English was and still is used more frequently by all of the children when dealing with the alphabet, numbers in sequence; color names; school objects (e.g., glue, tape recorder, tape, teacher, folder), and playground items and terms (e.g., swings; slide; etc., and On your mark! Get set! Gō!). Also songs; rhymes; and chānts leaarned in school frequently appear on the tapes in English.

The second year data show clearly a number of changes in the children's development of Spanish and in their ability to use English. Changes in the children s. patterns of codeswitching and codemixing are also noted. These àre discussed in the papers which follow.

In člosing I should like to say that as we continue the analyses of the data from the speech samples and other sources, we will continue to look for evidence of growth by individual children and for better ways of characterizing the language of children who are perceived by their teachers to be effective users of the language versus those who are perceived to be 1 less.so. We are biso beginning to work toward trying to define those aspects of language which predict to school succéss.

## Summary

To summarize, we have observed over a two-year period the language development and patterns of language use of 120 Spanish=English bilingual children:- Our findings to date suggest that (1) the ehildren not only vary in their ability to use the two languages but that they show a preference for the use of one language over the other depending upon the setting and the person(s) with whom they are interacting, (2) discourse on the classroom appears to require the use of a formal style of language; which differs from that used in basic interpersenal communieation; the children are sensitive to this form of language, but many children in the early years of schooling appear to be somewhat limited in their ability to interact in this register; (3) children's acquisition of reading skilis and the acquisition of language are both highly individualistic in nature, both appear to be influenced in no small part by factors outside of the instructional program; (4) codeswitching. while negligible in the spech behavior of the children, is more prevalent in the children's speech in some communties than in others; it appears that as children get older and/or gain more skill in the two languages, more codeswitching occurs as does more conflex forms (such ass 'phrasál switching); and (5) multiple measures of oral language proficiency may be needed to provide a valid and reliable assessment of the bilingual child's language abilities.

Finally, what are the implications, for the class room that we can draw from; our study in its present stage? Our experience would seem to suggest the following:
1.: Look at these children as individuals.
2. Learn all you can about each child's ability in her/his two languages as well as her/his patterns of language use.
3. Recognize that these children generally have a language that serves) them well for interpersonal communication. It is rich in vocabulary and syntactic structures and in the functions of language needed in social interactions.
4. Notice whether or not the child is experienced in the form of language needed for the classroom. It may well be that a greater emphasis should be placed on school-related language in the materials and instruction specifically designated for orāl lan= guage development.
5. Keep in mind that oral language test scores of young children may not provide a reliable picture of the child's language resources. Teachers can be trained to observe children's language behavior and to make reasonably good estimates of the children's ability to perform in the school setting.
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Student's Name $\qquad$ Grade $\qquad$

| Teacher |
| :--- |
| School $\quad: \quad$ a | Date Collected $\qquad$

District $\qquad$ Date Rated $\qquad$

1. Type of interaction (circle one): $\quad$ T P
2. General language use of interlocuters (ciraje one): ${ }^{2} \quad S \quad E \quad A \quad C \quad B \quad$
3. General language use of student (circle one): $\quad S \quad E \quad A \quad C \quad B$
4. Oral proficiency rating: ${ }^{3}$

SPANISH
(if used by student)

- ENGLISH.
(if used by student)

| $\therefore$ PRONUNCIATION | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\therefore 123-45$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - GRAMMAR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| VOCABULARY | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | \% |
| COMPREHENSION | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | $\overline{5}$ |  |  |
| OVERALE COMME= NiGATIVE SKILL | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |

1 T"三 Teacher-Pupil; P =Peér-Pupil; F 三Family-Pupil

3. Refer to accompanying criteria sheet
$\qquad$
STUDENT OPERATIONAL LANGUAGE ASSESS:IENT
Please mark the one box which most closely describes the way this student uses English and/or Spanish.

## ㄱ. I- Bifingual (totally fluent) in BoTH English and Spanish

Has native-like comprehension of both English and Spanish and produces language which is coherent and syntactically. (grammatically) correct, with complete native-like fluency, in both English and Spanish.

## ] II. Partial bilingual - English dominant

Understands all spoken English and producēs English utterances with native-like fluency and correctness in syntax (gramar) and vocabulary. Also understands some spoken Spanish and can produce fairly complete sentences in Spanish but with lēss than native-like fluency. His/her isentences in Spanish are somewhat awksard with regularized errors in syntax and vocabulary.
] İİ. Partiā bilingual $=$ Spanish dominant
Understands all spoken Spanish and produces Spanish utterances with native-like fluency and correctness in syntax (gramar) and vocabulary. Also undetstands some English and can produce fairly complete sentences in English but with less than native-iike fluency. His/her sentences in English are somewhat awkward with regularized errors in syntax and vocabulary.

## J. IV: Monolingual English

Understands all spoken English and speaks English with eāee and complete native-like Eluency and correctness. If any Spanish is understood or spoken it is no more than á few isolated words ō expressions.
] V. Monolíngual Spanish
Understands all spoken Spanish and speaks Spanish with ease and complete native-like fluency and correctness. If any English is understood or : spoken it is no more than a few isolated words or expressions.
VI. Limited English/limited Spanish

Dōes not have native competence in éither English ōr Spanish. it may appear that he/she understands spoken English and Spanish but the oral production in both languages is labored, characterized by awkward sen= tences and systematic errors in syntax (grammar), vocabulary and fact. Usualiy can't speak Engish without mixing in spanish words and vice-versa.
VII. Late language learner

Appears to have serious linguistic difficulties: These difficulties are charactérized by eithēr á) lābored and awkward production in one language with near ōr complete deficiencies in the other; b) totail deficiencies in both languages, ié àingual.

## PRONUNCIATION

1. Often uninteligible due to excessive mispronunciation, making comprehension extremely difficult.
2. Intelligible, but'with frequent mispronunciations which may, at times, interfere with communication:
3. Always intel ligible, but reflects oceasional mispronunciations which - are usually systematic.
4. Essentially like that of native speaker, except for some residue or overtones that suggest nonnativeness.
5. For all practical purposes, like that of a native speaker; pronunciation may reflect characteristie features of the dialect of the region.

## GRAMMAR

1. Makes excessive number of errors in grammar; except in stock phrases; extremely, limited in range and variety of syntactic structures.
2. Makes frequent errors in grammar, which may finterfere with normal communication; rather limfted in range and variety of syntactic structures; frequently resorts to rephnasing in midcourse:
3. Makes occasional errors in grammar which may, at times; obscure meaning; range and variety of syntactic structures are relatively limited when compared with those of native peers.
4. Makes sporadic errors in grammar that are nontypical of native speakers of the same age; gramar is essentially like that of native speakers with syntactic structures resembling those of native peers in range and variety.
5. Makes no systematic errors in syntax or morphology, except for developmental "errors" common to monopingual speakers of the same age; range . and variety of syntactic structures are, like those used by native
$\therefore$ speakers of the same age.

## VOCABULARY $F=$

1. Vocabulary is severely limited and often hampers communication.
2. Vocabulary is jimited when compared with native peers; frequent use of inappropriate tēris.
3. Vocabulary is mostly adequate, but occasionally defjcient.
4. Vocabulary is essentially like that of a native speaker of the same age, except for sporadic groping for appropriate terms.
5. For ali practical purposes, vocabulary is like that of a native speaker of the same age.
6. Understands very little speech, except for a limited number of items frequently used in the classroom or social setting (e.g., greetings); requires simplification, repetition, andor much use of gestures.
7. Understands some adult or peer speech spoken at a normal rate, but often requires simplification of speech or frequent reepetition or rephrasing.
8. Understands most adult or peer-group speech, spoken at a normal rate, that would üsually be understood by native peers, but occasionaptiy demonstrates lack of, or only partial; understanding.
9. Understands essentialty everything, spoken at.a normal rate, in schoolrelated, social, or peer-group conversation, except for certain idiomatic phrases or conventionalized usage of the language.
10. Understands everything in both classroom and playgroup speech which wduld usually be expected of native speakers of the same age.

## OVERALL COMMUNICATION SKILL

1. Is'able to participate only minimally in school-related or peer-group conversations conducted in the language. Speech is generally characterized by labored production, incomplete sentences, and/or excessive number of érrors.

2f Is able to get the gist of most school-related and peer-group conversations, but is unable to participate with facility in ${ }^{\text {f }}$ any but very familiar, routine conversations. Speech is frequently uneven, hesitant, and fragmented.
3. Understands and speaks the language adequately to participate most school-related and peer-group conversations:- speech is characterized by occasional errors in grammar; some groping for words; and at times, hesitaricy and unevenness in production.
4. Uses the language fluently and accurately, for the most part, and is. able to participate süccessfully in all school-related and peer-group conversations. Speech, while smooth; effortless, and generally without error, contāins some sound qualities and grammatical, structures which suggest nonnativeness.
5: For all practical purposes, uses the language like a native speaker of the same age. Speech in all school-related and piaygroup conversations is smooth, effortless, and native-7jke in accuracy.

Student's Name $\qquad$ Grāde $\qquad$
Teacher $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$
School $\qquad$ Rater


District $\qquad$
INSTRUCTIONS: please refer to the accompany ng criteria sheet and circle below the number corresponding to the statement which most accurately describes the student's level of proficiency for each of the language components indicated.
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Much of the literature on code-switching in the United States has focused on the use of Spanish and English by Chicaño and Puerto Rican bilinguals: However, researchers in this field are increasingly turning their attention to the speech of children, since it fos been found that children who speak two languages start to mix ianguages in their speech, in early chilahood.

Most of the recent iterature on codeswitching in children.'s speech focuses on children in the elementary grades, and much of this literature concerns the bilingual speech of children within the classrom (Jacobson, 1976; Zentellā, 1978; Valdez-Fallís, 1978; Gonzālez̄ \& May, 1980).

## Procedure

In our work as part of the Bilingual Reading Study, we have up to this point focused on describing the bilingual discourse of children in kindergarten through grade two.. The total sample for this substudy consists of 24 children. Fourteen of these children are from a school district in the Rio Grande Valley of Texas, and ten of the children are from tiro sciool districts in the border area of southwestern texas near - the city of Et Paso. These latter children are at times, treated as a single group for comparison purposes due to the very close proximity of the two school districts and the similar environment and background of 'these ten children.

Seven of the children from the Rio Grande Valley school district, hereafter referred to as District A, were in the first grade at the time the data was collected; the other seven children were in second grade. In the southwestern Texas school districts, hereafter, referred to as Districts $B$ and $C$, four of the children were in kindergarten and six were in the first grade during the same time period.

All of the children in the study were taped in three communication settings: in the classroom, in the home, and on the playground. An examination of the patterns of codeswitching of the children during the first year of the study (Espiño \& Domínguez, 1980) revealed that $50 \%$ of the childrens mixed utterances occurred on the playground, rather than in the wome or in the classrom- Since the playground setting appeared to be the most productive for the study of language mixing in the children's speech, it was decided to focus our attention entirely on the playground tapes for the second year report.

As in the study of the first year data, the present analysis uses the utterance as the basic unit of speech.: The utterance; in most cases, corresponds to a single turn of talk. The terms "code-switehed" and "mixed" are used synonymously throughout this report to describe all instances of speech bēhavior where English was āternated with Spanish, either within an utterance or between utterances. All bilingual discourse was analyzed as either lexical; phrasal; or sentential code-switching. A single word (or words in the case of a proper noun or formulaic expression) from one language which was inserted into a gramatical frame of the other language was considered a lexical switch. These were nouns, adjectives, adverbs; etc. of one language, usualiy English, which were insérted within a Spanish utterance. Few instances were found in which Spanish words wereinserted into an Engly utterance. A phrasal switch consisted of the substitution of a phrase (prepositional, nominā $\overline{1}$, verbāl) within an utterance. A sentential switch consisted of complete utterance (sentence) from one language which was inserted between two utterances of the other language or when a complete utterance in one language followed an utterance in the other language within à single turn. Alternation of languages between speakers wās not included in the analysis.

The analysis examined (1) the frequency of codeswitching between children from two different border areas in the state of Texas; (2) differences in, extent of codeswitching by a group of children over à two-year period, (3) differences in the extent, of language mixing as a function of age/grade level; and (4) the type of language mixing produced by the children over time and by region of the State.

## Differences in Frequency of Codeswitching as a Function of Region

As has been pointed out in the previous paper, the children in the three districts differ in the extent to which English and Spanish is used on the playgroumd $\because A$ As can be noted in Table 1; the children in our subsample also differ in the extent to which language mixing occurs in that setting. Codeswitching appears to be more prevalent in the speech of the children in the school district in the Rio Grande Valley (District A) than it is in the speech of the children from the two districts in the El Paso àreão.

## Differences in Extent of Codeswitching over a Two-year Period :

Speech data on the children from District A span a two-yeár period. The fourteen children in the present study were in kindergarten and grade one in Year Óne (1978-1979) Fand in grades one and two in Yeã Two (19791980). It should be noted that these fourten children comprised part - of the sample reported on by Espino and Dominguez (1980) in the Year One report'. Data on these children provide a two-year longitudinal view of develópmental. features of codeswitching behavior. As can be noted in Table $2_{2}$ considerably more codeswitching occurred in the speech of these children in Year One of the study than it did in Year Two. Notice that after one additional year of schooling for the children at those grade
levels the group as a whole tended to use more "alt spanish" utterances as well às more "all English" in their speech.

## Table 1

Classification of Utterances Produced
by the Combined Group of Students from Districts $A, B$, and $C$ in Year Two (1979-1980)

| English | District A | District B | District $C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16\% (237) | 67\% (298) | 56\% (228) |
| Spanish | 76\% (1108) | 31\% (135) | 39\% (157) |
| Mixed | 8\% (112) | 1\% (5) | 5\% (19) |

Table 2 :
Classification of Utterances Produced by Target Students from District A in Year 1 (1978-1979) and Year 2 (1979-1980)

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| English, | Year 1 (1978-1979) | Year 1 (1979-1980) |
| Spanish | $13 \%$ (102) | $16 \%$ (257) |
| Mixed | $65 \%$ (498) | $76 \%$ (1108) |

Differences in Extent of Language Mixing as a Function of Age/Grade Level
While codeswitching is negligible in the speech of the children in this study (accounting for only $6 \%$ of the total gutterances), a trend appears to be emerging in respect to age/grade level. First graders in both regions of the state tended to codeswitch more than did the kindergarteners, and second graders tended to do more codeswitching than did the first graders (see Tables 3 and 4). As the children develop more proficiency in English and move on into other stages in their native language and social development, we would expect to see more codeswitching occurring within peer groups.

Classification of Utterances Produced by the Target Children from District A by Grade Level in Year 2

| Grade | English | Spanish | Mixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | $8 \%(52)$ | $86 \%$ | $(555)$ |
| 2nd | $23 \%(185)$ | $68 \%$ | $6 \%$ (42) |

Table 4
Classification of Utterances Produced by the combined Group of Target Children from Districts B \& C by Gradel Level in Year 2

| Grade | English | Spanish | Mixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K$ | $85 \% ~(325)$ | $14 \%$ (53) | $1 \%$ (4) |
| 1st | $43 \%$ (193) | $53 \%(239)$ | $4 \%$ (20) |

## Type of Language Mixing by the Children Over Time and by Region of the State

The type of ianguage mixing produced by the children in both regions. of the state is overwhelmingly lexical in nature. Notice in Table 5 that lexical codeswitching accounts for the majority of the mixed utterances not only in kindergarten and grade one, but in grade two as well. Sentential switching occurs at all grade levels but not with as much vitality as does lexical switching. Phrasal switching is negligible, at this point, and is found on on occasionally in the speech of six of the children. Our data. reveal only two instances of phrasal switching by kindergarten; four instances at grade one, with the remaining nine instances occuring at grade two.

Table 5
Types of Mixed Utterances Produced by the Composite Group of Target Children from District $A$ and Districts $B$ and $C$ in Year 2


Summary
In summary, codeswitching did not occur with great frequency in the speech behāvior of the children in our study. Regional differences were found in the extent to which codeswitching oceurred in the speech of the children from the three communties studied. However, no differences were found in the type of language mixing used by the children as a function of region. Äge and/or level of skill in both English and Spanish may be related both to the extent and type of codeswitching which occurs.
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    * Reproductions supplied by PDRS are the best that can be made * from the oriainal document.

[^1]:    *The study presently contains children who reflect a much wider range in degree of bilingualism and à wider range of socioeconomic stātús as well. it also contajns a monolingual Engliṣh-speaking control group and a control group of monolingual Spanish-speaking students. However. these latter ehildren entered the study just this fall and are not discussed in this report.

